

Docket No. 07-8418

Supreme Court of the United States

Jimmy Clayton Chisum
unrepresented petitioner

v.


united States of America

Certificate of a Party unrepresented by Counsel

Petitioner hereby certifies that this supplement to intervening circumstances under Rule 44.2, along with the Supplemental authority is brought before the Court in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

Certified under penalty of perjury as explained in 28 USC 1746.

Prepared and signed this 16th day of March, AD 2008.



Jimmy Clayton Chisum, en esse
propria persona petitioner
unrepresented party
Inmate ID# 84388-008
Federal Prison Camp La Tuna
PO Box 8000
Anthony, Texas 88021

Docket No. 8418

Supreme Court of the United States

Jimmy Clayton Chisum
unrepresented petitioner


v.

united States of America

Certificate of mailing

Petitioner hereby certifies that the Supplement to Intervening Circumstances with Supplemental Authority was mailed to the Court on March 17, 2008 AD, by First Class Mail, postage paid and addressed to Office of the Clerk, Supreme Court of the United States, One First St. NE, Washington D.C. 20530.

Prepared and signed under penalty of perjury pre 28 USC 1746.



Jimmy Clayton Chisum, en esse
propria persona petitioner
unrepresented party
Inmate ID# 84388-008
Federal Prison Camp La Tuna
PO Box 8000
Anthony, Texas 88021

Docket No. 07-8418

Supreme Court of the United States


Jimmy Clayton Chisum
Unrepresented petitioner

v.

united States of America

Certificate of Service

Petitioner hereby certifies that the Supplement to the Intervening Circumstance Under Rule 44 ¶ 2, and Supplemental authority was served upon Respondent by First Class Mail, postage paid and addressed to Solicitor General of the United States, Room 5614, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, D.C., 20530-0001, deposited into institution Mail the 17th day of March, 2008 AD.



Jimmy Clayton Chisum, en esse
unrepresented petitioner
Inmate ID# 84388-008
Federal Prison Camp La Tuna
PO Box 8000
Anthony, Texas, 88021

Docket No. 07-8418

Supreme Court of the United States

Jimmy Clayton Chisum
Petitioner, in propria persona
an unrepresented individual

v.

united States of America

Certificate of Compliance

Where a Constitutional Right is concerned there can be no rulemaking that would abrogate that right. The petitioner's right to justice and judicial integrity is an extraordinary circumstance, and the need for supplement is directly caused by the Respondent's limitations of legal resources to inmates.

The first notice of the Court's March 3 Decision in Boulware, No. 06-1509 was via Criminal Law Reporter that was not available to petitioner until after the Petition for Rehearing had been mailed timely to the court. Petitioner brings this supplement with all haste to avoid any delay in handling or decision.

Certified this 16th day of March, AD 2008.



Jimmy Clayton Chisum, en esse
unrepresented petitioner
Inmate ID# 84388-008
Federal Prison Camp La Tuna
PO Box 8000
Anthony, texas, 88021

Docket No. 07-8418

Supreme Court of the United States

Jimmy Clayton Chisum
unrepresented petitioner

v.

United States of America
Respondent

EXTRORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCE FOR RELIEF

Supplemental Intervening Circumstance
Rule 44 ¶ 2

Supplemental on Point Authority
Boulware, No. 06-1509

Jimmy Clayton Chisum, en esse
Inmate ID# 84388-008
Federal Prison Camp La Tuna
Po Box 8000
Anthony, Texas 88021

Intervening Circumstance

The intervention of the fact that the recent decision of the Court in Boulware, No. 06-1509 was not available to petitioner at the time of the preparation of the Motion for Rehearing due to the inadequacy of the law library and no electronic access, or even Supreme Court Slip Opinions furnished for inmates at La Tuna by Department of Justice and Bureau of Prisons. Petitioner has no resource available for more timely notice of decisions than the Criminal Law Reporter magazine, usually 7 to 15 days after decisions. In this case the knowledge was first available after the Motion was completed and dropped in the mail, first seen 14 March, 2008.

While it is not needful that the Court be reminded of its recent decisions, the proper citation of the authority was delayed by the Respondent.

Supplemental Authority

Timing could not have been more perfected but by supernatural answers to prayer. Boulware v. United States, 06-1509, 3/3/2008 is exactly and precisely on point with at least 3 of the "Other Substantial Grounds not previously presented" in the Motion for Rehearing.

Petitioner raised the lack of a deficiency in pretrial motions that were termed "frivolous and foreclosed" by trial court.

Petitioner raised Sansone v US, 380 US 343 (1965) pretrial and in Sentencing, but again was declared "frivolous and foreclosed".

Trial Court abused its discretion to declare stare decisis frivolous.

10th Circuit plain error ignored Sansone, *ibid*, and all other authority in its decision based on Collins, an abuse of discretion and denial of due process.

Boulware, *ibid*, clearly makes the 10th Circuit decision that the "indictment was adequate" and effectively overruled by that circuit's conclusion that also ignored Sansone, *ibid*,

Inadequate Counsel on Appeal was furnished Sansone, *ibid*, and argued that it was frivolous to raise that issue in the Tenth Circuit, arguing that local

interpretation was that none of the law can be read as written and only judge's interpretation would stand. Counsel argued that the exact challenge that overturned Boulware, ibid was frivolous, refusing to even raise the issues concerning deficiency as an "element" on appeal. That prejudicial pro government conflict of interest is again highlighted by this Court's Unanimous decision in Boulware, ibid.

For justice to be done and the integrity of the judiciary repaired strong counsel needs to be given by this court to all inferior courts on the rule of law and role of stare decisis over local interpretation.


deficiency as an element must be returned to the pervue of fact finding by the jury, not the probation officer in presentencing investigation that has details dictated by IRS abd US Attorney. This rehearing and supplement provide an ideal and timely opportunity to the court to stand up to its own decisions.

Every dollar of deficiency, or tax loss is a jury matter, not for the probation officer or the judge by prejudicial preponderence.

There are currently dozens, if not hundreds of political prisoners serving time and hundreds if not thousands before us who have served their sentence without there being any allegation of actual liability statutes, actual deficiency, or proof of nexus in jurisdiction.

This Court now has the opportunity to restore a great vestage of individual liberty, the right of property in our labor, and requirement that federal agents or those erroneously pretending to be actually follow the law as proscribed in FCC v Nextwave, 537 US 293 (2003)

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of March, 2008 AD


Jimmy Clayton Chisum, en esse
propria persona petitioner
unrepresented individual
Inmate ID# 84388-008
Federal Prison Camp La Tuna
PO Box 8000
Anthony, Texas 88021